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Abstract
In this article, we introduce a new three-parameter lifetime model called the Burr X exponentiated Weibull model. The major justification for the practicality of the new lifetime model is based on the wider use of the exponentiated Weibull and Weibull models. We are motivated to propose this new lifetime model because it exhibits increasing, decreasing, bathtub, J shaped and constant hazard rates. The new lifetime model can be viewed as a mixture of the exponentiated Weibull distribution. It can also be viewed as a suitable model for fitting the right skewed, symmetric, left skewed and unimodal data. We provide a comprehensive account of some of its statistical properties. Some useful characterization results are presented. The maximum likelihood method is used to estimate the model parameters. We prove empirically the importance and flexibility of the new model in modeling two types of lifetime data. The proposed model is a better fit than the Poisson Topp Leone-Weibull, the Marshall Olkin extended-Weibull, gamma-Weibull, Kumaraswamy-Weibull, Weibull-Fréchet, beta-Weibull, transmuted modified-Weibull, Kumaraswamy transmuted- Weibull, modified beta-Weibull, Mcdonald-Weibull and transmuted exponentiated generalized-Weibull models so it is a good alternative to these models in modeling aircraft windshield data as well as the new lifetime model is much better than the Weibull-Weibull, odd Weibull-Weibull, Weibull Log-Weibull, the gamma exponentiated-exponential and exponential exponential-geometric models so it is a good alternative to these models in modeling the survival times of Guinea pigs. We hope that the new distribution will attract wider applications in reliability, engineering and other areas of research.
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1. Introduction
It is known that the Weibull distribution has been the most popular distribution for modeling lifetimes (see Murthy et al., 2004 and Rinne, 2009) and has been extensively used for modeling data in engineering, reliability and biological researches. The major weakness of this distribution is its inability to accommodating nonmonotone hazard rates. This has led to the need of exploring more generalizing of this model. The first generalization allowing for nonmonotone hazard rates is the exponentiated Weibull (EW) model (see Mudholkar and Srivastava (1993) and Mudholkar et al. (1995)). The goal of this paper is to introduce a new extremely flexible version of the EW model.
A random variable (rv) \( Z \) is said to have the EW distribution if its probability density function (pdf) and cumulative distribution function (cdf) are given by

\[
g_{\text{EW}}(z; \alpha, \beta) = \alpha \beta z^{\beta - 1} \exp(-z^\beta) \left[1 - \exp(-z^\beta)\right]^{\alpha - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad G_{\text{EW}}(z; \alpha, \beta) = \left[1 - \exp(-z^\beta)\right]^\alpha,
\]

respectively, for \( z > 0 \), \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( \beta > 0 \). Yousof et al. (2017) introduced a flexible family of distributions called Burr X generator (BrX-G) with

\[
F(x; \theta, \xi) = \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{[g(x; \xi)/g(x; \xi)]^2}{2}\right)\right)^\theta, \quad x \in R,
\]

and pdf

\[
f(x; \theta, \xi) = 2\theta g(x; \xi)G(x; \xi)\frac{g(x; \xi)}{G(x; \xi)}^{-3} \exp\left(-\frac{[g(x; \xi)/G(x; \xi)]^2}{2}\right)
\times \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{[g(x; \xi)/G(x; \xi)]^2}{2}\right)\right)^{\theta - 1}, \quad x \in R.
\]

To this end we will use the BrX-G for generating the new extreme flexible version of the EW model.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the new distribution. Section 3 deals with some characterizations of the new model. we derive some of its mathematical properties in Section 4. The maximum likelihood method is presented in Section 5. In Section 6, we illustrate the importance of the new model by means of two applications to real data sets. The paper is concluded in Section 7.

2. The new model and its justification

By inserting \( G_{\text{EW}}(x; \alpha, \beta) \) in (1) we obtain the cdf of the Burr X exponentiated Weibull (BrXEW) model as

\[
F(x; \theta, \alpha, \beta) = \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^\alpha}{1 - \left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^\alpha}\right)\right]^\theta, \quad x \geq 0.
\]

The corresponding pdf is

\[
f(x; \theta, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{2\theta \alpha \beta x^{\beta - 1} \exp(-x^\beta) \left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^{2\alpha - 1} \exp\left(-\frac{\left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^\alpha}{1 - \left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^\alpha}\right)}{\left[1 - \left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^\alpha\right]^3 \exp\left(-\frac{\left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^\alpha}{1 - \left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^\alpha}\right)} \times \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^\alpha}{1 - \left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^\alpha}\right)\right]^\theta - 1, \quad x > 0.
\]

Now, we provide a very useful linear representation for the BrXEW density function. If \( |z| < 1 \) and \( b > 0 \) is a real non-integer, the following power series holds
The justification for the practicality of the BrXEW lifetime model is based on the wider use of the EW and W models. We are also motivated to introduce the BrXEW lifetime model since it exhibits increasing, decreasing, bathtub, J shaped, and constant hazard rates as illustrated in Figure 2 (b1 to b5, respectively). We mentioned before that the BrXEW lifetime model can be viewed as a mixture of the EW distribution. It can be considered as a suitable model for fitting
the right skewed, symmetric, left skewed and unimodal data. The proposed BrXEW lifetime model is a much better fit than the Poisson Topp Leone-Weibull, the Marshall Olkin extended-Weibull, gamma-Weibull, Kumaraswamy-Weibull, Weibull-Fréchet, beta-Weibull, transmuted modified-Weibull, Kumaraswamy transmuted-Weibull, modified beta-Weibull, Mcdonald-Weibull and transmuted exponentiated generalized-Weibull models, so the new lifetime model is a good alternative to these models in modeling aircraft windshield data. It is also a much better fit than the Weibull-Weibull, odd Weibull-Weibull, Weibull Log-Weibull, the gamma exponentiated-exponential and exponential exponential-exponential-geometric models, so it is a good alternative to these models in modeling the survival times of Guinea pigs.

Figure 1: Plots of the BrXEW pdf.
3. Characterizations results
This section is devoted to the characterizations of the BrXEW distribution in different directions: (i) based on the ratio of two truncated moments; (ii) in terms of the hazard function; (iii) in terms of the reverse hazard function and (iv) based on the conditional expectation of certain function of the random variable. Note that (i) can be employed also when the cdf does not have a closed form. We would also like to mention that due to the nature
of BrXEW distribution, our characterizations may be the only possible ones. We present our characterizations \((i) - (iv)\) in four subsections.

### 3.1 Characterizations based on two truncated moments

This subsection deals with the characterizations of BrXEW distribution based on the ratio of two truncated moments. Our first characterization employs a theorem due to Glänzel (1987), see Theorem 1 (see Hamedani et al. (2018) and Hamedani et al. (2019)). The result, however, holds also when the interval \(H\) is not closed, since the condition of the Theorem is on the interior of \(H\).

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \(X : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)\) be a continuous rv and let

\[
q_2(x) = \frac{1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^\alpha}{\exp\left(-\left\{\frac{1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^\alpha}{\exp(-x^\beta)}\right\}^2\right)\left[1 - \exp\left(-\left\{\frac{1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^\alpha}{\exp(-x^\beta)}\right\}^2\right]\right]}^\theta - 1.
\]

and \(q_1(x) = q_2(x) \left[1 - e^{-x^\beta}\right]^\alpha\) for \(x > 0\). The rv \(X\) has pdf (4) if and only if the function \(\eta\) defined in Theorem 1 is of the form

\[
\eta(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left\{1 + [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^\alpha\right\}, \ x > 0.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose the rv \(X\) has pdf (4), then

\[
(1 - F(x))E[q_1(X) | X \geq x] = 2\theta [1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^\alpha], \ x > 0,
\]

and

\[
(1 - F(x))E[q_2(X) | X \geq x] = \theta [1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^{2\alpha}], \ x > 0.
\]

Further,

\[
\eta(x)q_1(x) - q_2(x) = \frac{q_2(x)\left\{1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^\alpha\right\}}{2\left\{1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right\}^\alpha} > 0, \ for \ x > 0.
\]

Conversely, if \(\eta\) is of the above form, then

\[
s'(x) = \frac{\eta'(x)q_1(x)}{\eta(x)q_1(x) - q_2(x)} = \frac{\alpha \beta x^{\beta - 1} \exp(-x^\beta) [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^{\alpha - 1}}{1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^\alpha}, \ x > 0,
\]

and consequently \(s(x) = -\log\left\{1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^\alpha\right\}, \ x > 0\). Now, according to Theorem 1, \(X\) has density (4).

**Corollary 3.1.** Let \(X : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)\) be a continuous rv and let \(q_2(x)\) be as in Proposition 3.1. The rv \(X\) has pdf (4) if and only if there exist functions \(q_1\) and \(\eta\) defined in Theorem 1 satisfying the following differential equation

\[
\eta'(x)q_1(x) = \frac{\alpha \beta x^{\beta - 1} \exp(-x^\beta) [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^{\alpha - 1}}{1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^\alpha}, \ x > 0.
\]

**Corollary 3.2.** The general solution of the differential equation in Corollary A.1 is
\[ \eta(x) = \left\{1 - \left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^\alpha\right\}^{-1} \times \left[ - \int \alpha \beta x^{\beta-1} \exp(-x^\beta) \left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^{\alpha-1} (q_1(x))^{-1} q_2(x) \, dx + D \right], \]

where \( D \) is a constant. We like to point out that one set of functions satisfying the above differential equation is given in Proposition 3.1 with \( D = \frac{1}{2} \). Clearly, there are other triplets \( (q_1, q_2, \eta) \) which satisfy conditions of Theorem 1.

3.2 Characterization in terms of hazard function

The hazard function, \( h_F \), of a twice differentiable distribution function, \( F \), satisfies the following first order differential equation

\[ \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = \frac{h'_F(x)}{h_F(x)} - h_F(x). \]

It should be mentioned that for many univariate continuous distributions, the above equation is the only differential equation available in terms of the hazard function. In this subsection we present non-trivial characterizations of BrXEW distribution, for \( \theta = 1 \), in terms of the hazard function.

**Proposition A.2.** Let \( X : \Omega \to (0, \infty) \) be a continuous random variable. The rv \( X \) has pdf (4) if and only if its hazard function \( h_F(x) \) satisfies the following differential equation

\[ h'_F(x) + \beta x^{\beta-1} h_F(x) = 2\alpha \beta \exp(-x^\beta) \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \frac{x^{\beta-1} \left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^{2\alpha-1}}{(1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^\alpha)^3} \right\}, \quad x > 0. \]

Proof. If \( X \) has pdf (4), then clearly the above differential equation holds. If the differential equation holds, then

\[ \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ e^{x^\beta} h_F(x) \right\} = 2\alpha \beta \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \frac{x^{\beta-1} \left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^{2\alpha-1}}{(1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^\alpha)^3} \right\}, \]

from which we arrive at the hazard function of (4) when \( \theta = 1 \).

3.3 Characterization in terms of the reverse hazard function

The reverse hazard function, \( r_F \), of a twice differentiable distribution function, \( F \), is defined as

\[ r_F(x) = \frac{f(x)}{F(x)}, \quad x \in \text{support of } F. \]

In this subsection we present a characterization of BrXEW distribution in terms of the reverse hazard function.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( X : \Omega \to (0, \infty) \) be a continuous random variable. The rv \( X \) has pdf (4) if and only if its reverse hazard function \( r_F(x) \) satisfies the following differential equation

\[ r'_F(x) + \beta x^{\beta-1} r_F(x) = \frac{2\alpha \beta}{(1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^\alpha)^3} \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \frac{x^{\beta-1} \left[1 - \exp(-x^\beta)\right]^{2\alpha-1}}{(1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\beta)]^\alpha)^3} \right\}, \]

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are constants.
The following propositions have already appeared in Hamedani's previous work (2013), so we will just state them here which can be used to characterize BrXEW distribution.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \( X : \Omega \rightarrow (e, f) \) be a continuous rv with cdf \( F \). Let \( \psi(x) \) be a differentiable function on \( (e, f) \) with \( \lim_{x \to e^+} \psi(x) = 1 \). Then for \( \delta \neq 1 \),

\[
E[\psi(X) \mid X \geq x] = \delta \psi(x), \quad x \in (e, f),
\]

if and only if

\[
\psi(x) = \left(1 - F(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta} - 1}, \quad x \in (e, f)
\]

**Proposition 3.5.** Let \( X : \Omega \rightarrow (e, f) \) be acontinuous rv with cdf \( F \). Let \( \psi_1(x) \) be a differentiable function on \( (e, f) \) with \( \lim_{x \to f^-} \psi_1(x) = 1 \). Then for \( \delta_1 \neq 1 \),

\[
E[\psi_1(X) \mid X \leq x] = \delta_1 \psi_1(x), \quad x \in (e, f) \text{ implies } \psi_1(x) = \left(F(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_1} - 1}. \quad x \in (e, f).
\]

**Remarks 3.1.**

(A) For \( (e, f) = (0, \infty), \; \theta = 1 \),

\[
\psi(x) = \exp \left( - \left\{ \frac{\left[ 1 - \exp(-x^\theta) \right]^\alpha}{1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\theta)]^\alpha} \right\}^2 \right)
\]

and \( \delta = \frac{1}{2} \), Proposition 3.4 provides a characterization of BrXEW distribution.

(B) For \( (e, f) = (0, \infty), \)

\[
\psi_1(x) = 1 - \exp \left( - \left\{ \frac{\left[ 1 - \exp(-x^\theta) \right]^\alpha}{1 - [1 - \exp(-x^\theta)]^\alpha} \right\}^2 \right)
\]

and \( \delta_1 = \frac{\theta}{1 + \theta} \). Proposition 3.5 provides a characterization of BrXEW distribution.

### 3.4 Characterization based on the conditional expectation of certain function of the random variable

In this subsection we employ a single function \( \psi \) (or \( \psi_1 \)) of \( X \) and characterize the distribution of \( X \) in terms of the truncated moment of \( \psi(X) \) (or \( \psi_1(X) \)). The following propositions have already appeared in Hamedani’s previous work (2013), so we will just state them here which can be used to characterize BrXEW distribution.

### Mathematical properties

#### 4.1 Moments

The \( r \) th ordinary moment of \( X \) is given by
\[\mu_r = E(X^r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^r f(x) dx = \Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{r}{\beta} \right) \sum_{j,k,m=0}^{\infty} q_{j,k,m}^{((2j+k+2)\alpha)r}, \forall r > -\beta, \]

where

\[q_{j,k,m}^{((2j+k+2)\alpha)r} = \delta_{j,k} \nu_m^{((2j+k+2)\alpha)r},\]

and

\[\nu_{a_2,a_3} = a_2(-1)^{a_1} \frac{(a_2 - 1)}{(a_1 + 1)(a_3 + \beta)/\beta}.\]

Setting \(r = 1\) in (11), we have the mean of \(X\).

### 4.2 Generating function

Using the series expansion

\[(1 - z)^a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{a}{i} (-z)^i \quad \text{for} \quad |z| < 1,
\]

one can expand \(g_{EW}(x; (2j + k + 2)\alpha, \beta)\) as

\[g_{EW}(x; (2j + k + 2)\alpha, \beta) = [(2j + k + 2)\alpha] \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{k}{m} \right] \left[ (-1)^m / (m + 1) \right] g_{[m+1]^{1/\beta}}(x),\]

where \(g_{[m+1]^{1/\beta}}(x)\) denotes the pdf of the one-parameter Weibull distribution. So, whenever possible, \(\pi_{(2j+k+2)\alpha}(x)\) can be used to derive moment generating function of the BrXEW distribution from those of the one-parameter Weibull distribution. Let \(p_{\Psi_q}(\cdot)\) is the complex parameter Wright generalized hypergeometric (WGH) function with \(p\) numerator and \(q\) denominator parameters (Kilbas et al., 2006, Equation (1.9)) defined by the series

\[p_{\Psi_q} \left[ \left( \alpha_1, A_1, \ldots, \alpha_p, A_p \right); \left( \beta_1, B_1, \ldots, \beta_q, B_q \right); z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p} \Gamma(\alpha_j + A_j n)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma(\beta_j + B_j n)} n! z^n \]

Then, following similar algebraic developments of Nadarajah et al. (2013), we can write the mgf of \(g_{EW}(x; (2j + k + 2)\alpha, \beta)\) say \(M_Z(t; (2j + k + 2)\alpha, \beta)\), as

\[M_Z(t; (2j + k + 2)\alpha, \beta) = [(2j + k + 2)\alpha] \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m + 1} \frac{(2j + k + 2)\alpha - 1}{m} \times 1_{\Psi_0} \left[ \left( 1, -\beta^{-1} \right); (m + 1)^{1/\beta} t \right],\]

Hence, the mgf of the BrXEW model follows from (9) as

\[M_X(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m \Psi_0 \left[ \left( 1, -\beta^{-1} \right); (m + 1)^{1/\beta} t \right],\]

where

\[a_m = \frac{(-1)^m}{(m + 1)} \sum_{j,k} \delta_{j,k} \left( (2j + k + 2)\alpha \right) \left( (2j + k + 2)\alpha - 1 \right).\]

The above equation \(M_X(t)\) can be easily evaluated by scripts of the Matlab, Maple and Mathematica platforms.

### 4.3 Incomplete moments

The \(s^{th}\) incomplete moment, say

\[\phi_s(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} x^s f(x) dx, \quad \text{of} \quad X \quad \text{can be expressed from} \quad (10) \quad \text{as}\]
\[ \phi_s(t) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{j,k} \int_{-\infty}^{t} x^s \pi_{(2j+k+2)\alpha}(x) \, dx \]
\[ = \gamma \left( 1 + \frac{s}{\beta}, \left( \frac{1}{t} \right)^\beta \right) \sum_{j,k,m=0}^{\infty} a_{j,k,m}^{(2j+k+2)\alpha s}, \forall s > -\beta. \]

The first incomplete moment is obtained by setting \( s = 1 \) in \( \phi_s(t) \).

### 4.4 Probability weighted moments

The \((s, r)^{th}\) PWM of \( X \) following the BrXEW model, say \( \rho_{s,r} \), is formally defined by
\[ \rho_{s,r} = E\{X^s F(X)^r\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^s F(x)^r f(x) \, dx. \]

Using equations (3), (4), (9) and (10) we can write
\[ f(x) F(x)^r = \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} a_{j,k} \pi_{(2j+k+2)\alpha}(x), \]
where
\[ a_{j,k} = \frac{2\theta(-1)^j \Gamma(2j+k+3)}{j! \Gamma(2j+3)[(2j+k+2)\alpha]} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i (i+1)^i \left( \frac{\theta(r+1)}{i} \right). \]

Then, the \((s, r)^{th}\) PWM of \( X \) can be expressed as
\[ \rho_{s,r} = \Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{s}{\beta} \right) \sum_{j,k,m=0}^{\infty} a_{j,k,m}^{(2j+k+2)\alpha s}, \forall s > -\beta, \]
where
\[ a_{j,k,m}^{(2j+k+2)\alpha s} = a_{j,k} y_m^{(2j+k+2)\alpha s}. \]

### 2.5 Residual and reversed residual life

The \( n^{th} \) moment of the residual life, say \( m_n(t) = E[(X - t)^n \mid X > t], n = 1, 2, \) uniquely determine \( F(x) \). The \( n \) th moment of the residual life of \( X \) is given by \( m_n(t) = [1 - F(t)]^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-t)^n \, dF(x) \). Therefore
\[ m_n(t) = \gamma \left( 1 + \frac{n}{\beta}, \left( \frac{1}{t} \right)^\beta \right) [1 - F(t)]^{-1} \sum_{j,k,m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \zeta_{j,k,m,r}^{((2j+k+2)\alpha n)}. \]
where
\[ \zeta_{j,k,m,r}^{((2j+k+2)\alpha n)} = \delta_{j,k} y_m^{((2j+k+2)\alpha n)} \left( \frac{n}{r} \right) (-t)^{n-r}. \]

The \( n^{th} \) moment of the reversed residual life, \( M_n(t) = E[(t - X)^n \mid X \leq t] \) for \( t > 0 \) and \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) uniquely determines \( F(x) \). We obtain
\[ M_n(t) = \frac{1}{F(t)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-x)^n \, dF(x). \]
Then, the \( n \) th moment of the reversed residual life of \( X \) becomes
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\[ M_n(t) = \gamma \left( 1 + \frac{n}{\beta} \left( \frac{1}{t} \right)^\beta \right) [F(t)]^{-1} \sum_{j,k,m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \xi_{j,k,m,r}^{(2j+k+2)\alpha,n}, \forall n > -\beta, \]

where

\[ \xi_{j,k,m,r}^{(2j+k+2)\alpha,n} = (-1)^r \delta_{j,k} v_m^{(2j+k+2)\alpha} \binom{n}{r} t^{n-r}. \]

4.6 Stress-strength model

In stress-strength modeling, \( R_{X_1,X_2} = Pr(X_2 < X_1) \) is a measure of reliability of the system when it is subjected to random stress \( X_2 \) and has strength \( X_1 \). The system fails if and only if the applied stress is greater than its strength and the components will function satisfactorily whenever \( X_1 > X_2 \). \( R_{X_1,X_2} \) can be considered as a measure of system performance and naturally arise in electrical and electronic systems. Other interpretation can be given as the reliability \( R_{f_1,f_2} \) of a system is the probability that the system is strong enough to overcome the stress imposed on it. Let \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) be two independent random variables with BrXEW \((\theta_1, \alpha, \beta)\) and BrXEW \((\theta_2, \alpha, \beta)\) distributions, respectively. The reliability is defined by

\[ R_{f_1,f_2|X_2<X_1} = R_{X_1,X_2} = \sum_{j,k,w,m=0}^{\infty} v_{j,k,w,m}, \]

where

\[ v_{j,k,w,m} = 4 \theta_1 \theta_2 \sum_{j,k,w,m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+w} \Gamma(2j+k+3)\Gamma(2w+m+3) \]

\[ \times \sum_{i,h=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{i+h}(i+1)(h+1)^w \binom{\theta_1-1}{i} \binom{\theta_2-1}{h}}{(2w+m+2)[(2j+2w+k+m+4)\alpha]}. \]

4.7 Order statistics

Order statistics make their appearance in many areas of statistical theory and practice. Let \( X_1 : n, ..., X_n : n \) be a random sample from the BrXEW distribution and let \( X_{(1)}, ..., X_{(n)} \) be the corresponding order statistics. The pdf of \( ^i \text{th} \) order statistic, say \( X_i : n \), can be written as

\[ f_i : n(x) = [B(i,n-i+1)]^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} (-1)^j \binom{n-i}{j} f(x) F^{j+i-1}(x), \]

(12)

where \( B(\cdot, \cdot) \) is the beta function. Using (3), (4), equation (12) becomes

\[ f(x) F(x)^{j+i-1} = \sum_{w,k=0}^{\infty} d_{w,k} \pi_2^{(2w+k+2)\alpha}(x), \]

where

\[ d_{w,k} = \frac{2 \theta (-1)^w \Gamma(2w+k+3)}{w! k! \Gamma(2w+3) [(2w+k+2)\alpha]} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m (m+1)^w \binom{\theta(j+i)-1}{m}. \]

The pdf of \( X_{i:n} \) can be expressed as
Then, the density function of the $i$-th BrXEW order statistic is a mixture of EW densities. For example, the moments of $X_{i:n}$ can be expressed as

$$E(X_{i:n}^{q}) = \sum_{w,k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} [B(i, n - i + 1)]^{-1} (-1)^{j} \binom{n-i}{j} d_{w,k} \pi_{(2w+k+2)\alpha}(x) dx$$

$$= \Gamma(1 + \frac{q}{\beta}) \sum_{w,k,m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} t_{w,k,m,j}^{(2j+k+2)\alpha,q}, \forall q > -\beta,$$

where

$$t_{w,k,m,j}^{(2j+k+2)\alpha,q} = [B(i, n - i + 1)]^{-1} (-1)^{j} \binom{n-i}{j} d_{w,k} v_{m}^{(2j+k+2)\alpha,q}.$$ 

### 5. Parameter Estimation

Several methods for parameter estimation were proposed in the literature but the maximum likelihood method is the most commonly employed. So, we consider the estimation of the unknown parameters of this family from complete samples only by maximum likelihood method. Let $x_1, ..., x_n$ be a random sample from the BrXEW distribution with parameters $\theta, \alpha$ and $\beta$. Let $\Theta = (\theta, \alpha, \beta)^T$ be the $3 \times 1$ parameter vector. For determining the MLE of $\Theta$, we have the log-likelihood function

$$\ell = \ell(\Theta) = n \log 2 + n \log \theta + n \log \alpha + n \log \beta + (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log z_i$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log z_i^\alpha - 3 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 - z_i^\alpha) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i^2 + (\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log[1 - \exp(-s_i^2)],$$

where $s_i = z_i^\alpha/(1 - z_i^\alpha)$ and $z_i = \left[1 - \exp(-x_i^\beta)\right]$. The components of the score vector can be easily obtained.

### 6. Applications

In this section, we provide two applications of the BrXEW distribution to show empirically its potentiality. In order to compare the fits of the BrXEW distribution with other competing distributions, we consider the Cramér-von Mises ($W^*$) and the Anderson-Darling ($A^*$) statistics. These two statistics are widely used to determine how closely a specific cdf fits the empirical distribution of a given data set. These statistics are given by

$$W^* = \left(1/12n\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} [z_j - (2j - 1)/2n]^2 \left(1 + 1/2n\right)$$

and

$$A^* = \left(1 + \frac{9}{4n^2} + \frac{3}{4n}\right) \left\{ n + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1 + 2j) \log[z_j(1 - z_{n-j+1})] \right\}$$

respectively, where $z_j = F(y_j)$ and the $y_j$'s values are the ordered observations. The smaller these statistics are, the better the fit. The required computations are carried out using the R
software. The MLEs and the corresponding standard errors (in parentheses) of the model parameters are given in Tables 1 and 2. The numerical values of the statistics $W^*$ and $A^*$ are listed in the same Tables. The histograms of the two data sets and the estimated pdf of the proposed model are displayed in Figures 2 and 3.

**Failure times of 84 aircraft windshield**

The data consist of 84 observations. Here, we shall compare the fits of the BrXEW distribution with those of other competitive models, namely: Poisson Topp Leone-Weibull (PTL-W), Marshall Olkin extended-Weibull (MOE-W) (Ghitany et al., 2005), gamma-Weibull (Ga-W) (Provost et al., 2011) Kumaraswamy-Weibull (Kw-W) (Cordeiro et al., 2010), Weibull-Fréchet (W-Fr) (Afify et al., 2016b), beta-Weibull (BW) (Lee et al., 2007), transmuted modified-Weibull (TM-W) (Khan and King, 2013) Kumaraswamy transmuted-Weibull (KwT-W) (Afify et al., 2016a), modified beta-Weibull (MB-W) (Khan, 2015), Mcdonald-Weibull (Mc-W) (Cordeiro et al., 2014), transmuted exponentiated generalized Weibull (TExG-W) (Yousof et al., 2015) distributions, whose pdfs (for $x > 0$) are: given by

**PTL-W:**

$$f(x) = \frac{2\lambda ab a^b b^{-1} e^{-2x^b}[1 - \exp(-2x^b)]^{a-1}}{1 - \exp(-\lambda)} \exp[-\lambda[1 - \exp(-2x^b)]^a];$$

**MOE-W:**

$$f(x) = \alpha \beta y^\beta x^{\beta - 1} \left[1 - (1 - \alpha)e^{-(\gamma x)^\beta}\right]^{-2} \exp[-(\gamma x)^\beta];$$

**GaW:**

$$f(x) = \beta \alpha \gamma / \beta + 1 \Gamma^{-1}(1 + \gamma / \beta)x^{\beta + \gamma - 1} \exp[-\alpha x^\beta];$$

**Kw-W:**

$$f(x) = ab\beta a^\beta x^{\beta - 1}\left[1 - \exp[-(ax)^\beta]\right]^{a-1} \exp[-(ax)^\beta] \{1 - (1 - \exp[-(ax)^\beta])^{a}\}^{b-1};$$

**W-Fr:**

$$f(x) = ab\beta a^\beta x^{-\beta - 1}\left\{1 - \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\alpha}{x}\right)^\beta\right]\right\}^{-b-1} \times \exp\left[-b\left(\frac{\alpha}{x}\right)^\beta\right] \exp\left(-a\left\{\exp\left[-\left(\frac{\alpha}{x}\right)^\beta\right] - 1\right\}\right);$$

**B-W:**

$$f(x) = \beta \alpha \beta B^{-1}(a, b)x^{\beta - 1}[1 - \exp[-(ax)^\beta]]^{a-1} \exp[-b(ax)^\beta];$$

**TM-W:**

$$f(x) = (\alpha + \gamma \beta x^{\beta - 1})[1 - \lambda + 2\lambda \exp(-\alpha x - \gamma x^\beta)] \exp[-\alpha x - \gamma x^\beta];$$

**KT-W:**

$$f(x) = ab\beta a^\beta x^{\beta - 1}\left(1 + \lambda - 2\lambda[1 - \exp[-(ax)^\beta]]\right) \exp[-(ax)^\beta] \times \left[1 - \left((1 + \lambda)[1 - \exp[-(ax)^\beta]] - \lambda[1 - \exp[-(ax)^\beta]]^2\right)\right]^{a^{-1}} \times \left[1 - \exp[-(ax)^\beta]\right][1 + \lambda - \lambda[1 - \exp[-(ax)^\beta]]]^{a^{-1}};$$

**MB-W:**

$$f(x) = \beta \gamma^\alpha a^{-\beta} B^{-1}(a, b)x^{\beta - 1}\left\{1 - \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\chi}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right]\right\}^{a-1} \exp\left[-b\left(\frac{\chi}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right].$$
\[
\times \left( 1 - (1 - \gamma) \left\{ 1 - \exp \left[ \frac{-b}{\alpha} \left( \frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} \right] \right\} \right)^{-a-b} ;
\]

**Mc-W:**

\[
f(x) = \beta \alpha \beta B^{-1}(a/c, b)x^{\beta-1} \left\{ 1 - \exp \left[ -(ax)^{\beta} \right] \right\}^{a-1} \exp \left[ -(ax)^{\beta} \right] \times \left( 1 - \left\{ 1 - \exp \left[ -(ax)^{\beta} \right] \right\}^{c} \right)^{b-1} ;
\]

**TExG-W:**

\[
f(x) = ab \alpha \beta x^{\beta-1} \left\{ 1 - \exp \left[ -a(\alpha x)^{\beta} \right] \right\}^{b-1} \exp \left[ -a(\alpha x)^{\beta} \right] \times \left( 1 + \lambda - 2\lambda \left\{ 1 - \exp \left[ -a(\alpha x)^{\beta} \right] \right\}^{b} \right).
\]

Some other extensions of the Weibull distribution can also be used in this comparison, but are not limited to Yousof et al., (2015), Alizadeh et al., (2016), Yousof et al., (2017a-d), Cordeiro et al., (2017a, b), Brito et al., (2017), Aryal et al., (2017a, b), Nofal et al., (2017), Korkmaz et al., (2018), Yousof et al., (2018a, b), Hamedani et al., (2018), Korkmaz et al., (2019), and Hamedani et al., (2019). The parameters of the above densities are all positive real numbers except for the TM-W and TExG-W distributions for which \(|\lambda| \leq 1\). Tables 2 list the values of above statistics for seven fitted models. The MLEs and their corresponding standard errors (in parentheses) of the model parameters are also given in these tables. The figures in Table 1 reveal that the BrXEW distribution yields the lowest values of these statistics and hence provides the best fit to the two data sets.
Table 1: MLEs (standard errors in parentheses) and the statistics $W^*$ and $A^*$ for data set I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Estimates</th>
<th>$W^*$</th>
<th>$A^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BrXEW$(\theta, \alpha, \beta)$</td>
<td>0.6369, 4.261, 0.536 (0.356), (1.757), (0.099)</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTL-W$(\lambda, \alpha, b)$</td>
<td>-5.78175, 4.22865, 0.65801 (1.395), (1.167), (0.039)</td>
<td>0.1397</td>
<td>1.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOE-W$(\gamma, \beta, \alpha)$</td>
<td>488.899455, 0.283246, 1261.9660 (189.358), (0.013), (351.073)</td>
<td>0.3995</td>
<td>4.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga-W$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$</td>
<td>2.376973, 0.848094, 3.534401 (0.378), (0.0005296), (0.665)</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>1.9488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kw-W$(\alpha, \beta, a, b)$</td>
<td>14.4331, 0.2041, 34.6599, 81.8459 (27.095), (0.042), (17.527), (52.014)</td>
<td>0.1852</td>
<td>1.5059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-Fr$(\alpha, \beta, a, b)$</td>
<td>630.9384, 0.3024, 416.0971, 1.1664 (697.94), (0.03), (232.36), (0.357)</td>
<td>0.25372</td>
<td>1.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-W$(\alpha, \beta, a, b)$</td>
<td>1.36, 0.2981, 34.1802, 11.4956 (1.002), (0.06), (14.838), (6.73)</td>
<td>0.4652</td>
<td>3.2197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-W$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$</td>
<td>0.2722, 1, 4.6×10⁻⁶, 0.4685 (0.014), (5.2×10⁻⁵), (1.9×10⁻⁴), (0.165)</td>
<td>0.80649</td>
<td>11.2047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KwT-W$(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, a, b)$</td>
<td>27.7912, 0.178, 0.4449, 29.5253, 168.0603 (33.401), (0.017), (0.609), (9.792), (129.165)</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>1.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB-W$(\alpha, \beta, a, b, c)$</td>
<td>10.1502, 0.1632, 57.4167, 19.3859, 2.0043 (18.697), (0.019), (14.063), (10.019), (0.662)</td>
<td>0.47172</td>
<td>3.26561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mc-W$(\alpha, \beta, a, b, c)$</td>
<td>1.9401, 0.306, 17.686, 33.6388, 16.7211, (1.011), (0.045), (6.222), (19.994), (9.722)</td>
<td>0.1986</td>
<td>1.5906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TExG-W$(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, a, b)$</td>
<td>4.2567, 0.1532, 0.0978, 5.2313, 1173.3277 (33.401), (0.017), (0.609), (9.792)</td>
<td>1.0079</td>
<td>6.233</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The second real data set corresponds to the survival times (in days) of 72 guinea pigs infected with virulent tubercle bacilli reported by Bjerkedal (1960). We shall compare the fits of the BrXEW distribution with those of other competitive models, namely: Weibull-Weibull (W-W) (Tahir et al., 2016), odd Weibull-Weibull (OW-W) (Bourguignon et al., 2014), Weibull Log-Weibull (WLog-W) (Alzaatreh et al., 2013), the gamma exponentiated-exponential (GaE-E) (Risti´c and Balakrishnan 2012) and exponential exponential-geometric (EE-Gc) (Rezaei et al., 2013) distributions, whose pdfs (for $x > 0$) are: given by

**W-W:**

$$f(x) = \exp(-\alpha\{-\log[1 - \exp(-\lambda x^\gamma)]\}^\beta);$$

**OW-W:**

$$f(x) = 1 - \exp\{-\alpha[\exp(\lambda x^\gamma) - 1]^\beta\};$$

**GaE-E:**

$$f(x) = \frac{a\theta}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \exp(-\theta x) [1 - \exp(-\theta x)]^{a-1}\{-\alpha \log[1 - \exp(-\theta x)]\}^{\lambda-1};$$

**EE-Gc:**

$$f(x) = \frac{a\theta(1 - p) \exp(-\theta x)}{[1 - \exp(-\theta x)]^{a-1}[1 - p + p[1 - \exp(-\theta x)]^a]^2}.$$
Table 2: MLEs (standard errors in parentheses) and the statistics $W^*$ and $A^*$ for data set II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Estimates</th>
<th>$W^*$</th>
<th>$A^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BrXEW($\theta, \alpha, \beta$)</td>
<td>3.18, 5.539, 0.166</td>
<td>0.0907</td>
<td>0.5668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.117), (2.437), (0.024)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-W($\beta, \gamma, \lambda$)</td>
<td>2.6594, 0.6933, 0.0270</td>
<td>0.1427</td>
<td>0.7811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.713), (0.1707), (0.019)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OW-W($\beta, \gamma, \lambda$)</td>
<td>11.1576, 0.0881, 0.4574</td>
<td>0.4494</td>
<td>2.4764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4.545) (0.0355) (0.077)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLog-W($\beta, \gamma, \lambda$)</td>
<td>1.7872, 0.7795, 0.0255</td>
<td>0.4348</td>
<td>2.3938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.782), (0.333), (0.040)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaE-E($\lambda, \alpha, \theta$)</td>
<td>2.1138, 2.6006, 0.0083</td>
<td>0.3150</td>
<td>1.7208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.3288), (0.559), (0.005)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE-Gc($\alpha, \theta, \rho$)</td>
<td>2.5890, 0.0004, 0.9999</td>
<td>0.1047</td>
<td>0.5789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.4820), (0.0041), (0.1036)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the figures in Tables 1 and 2 we conclude that the BrXEW lifetime model provides adequate fits as compared to other Weibull-G models in both applications with small values for $W^*$ and $A^*$. In Application 1, the proposed BrXEW lifetime model is much better than the PTL-W, MOE-W, Ga-W, Kw-W, W-Fr, B-W, TM-W, KwT-W, MB-W, Mc-W, TExG-W models,
and a good alternative to these models. In Application 2, the proposed BrXEW lifetime model is much better than the W-W, OW-W, WLog-W, GaE-E, EE-Gc models, and a good alternative to these models.

7. Conclusions
In this article, we introduce a new three-parameter lifetime model called the Burr X exponentiated Weibull model. The major justification for the practicality of the new lifetime model is based on the wider use of the exponentiated Weibull and Weibull models. We are motivated to propose this new lifetime model because it exhibits increasing, decreasing, bathtub, J shaped and constant hazard rates. The new lifetime model can be viewed as a mixture of the exponentiated Weibull distribution. It can also be viewed as a suitable model for fitting the right skewed, symmetric, left skewed and unimodal data. We provide a comprehensive account of some of its statistical properties also some useful characterization results are presented. The maximum likelihood method is used to estimate the model parameters. We prove empirically the importance and flexibility of the new model in modeling two types of lifetime data. The proposed BrXEW lifetime model is a much better fit than the Poisson Topp Leone-Weibull, the Marshall Olkin extended-Weibull, gamma-Weibull, Kumaraswamy-Weibull, Weibull-Fréchet, beta-Weibull, transmuted modified-Weibull, Kumaraswamy transmuted-Weibull, modified beta-Weibull, Mcdonald-Weibull and transmuted exponentiated generalized-Weibull models, so the new lifetime model is a good alternative to these models in modeling aircraft windshield data. It is also a much better fit than the Weibull-Weibull, odd Weibull-Weibull, Weibull Log-Weibull, the gamma exponentiated-exponential and exponential exponential-geometric models, so it is a good alternative to these models in modeling the survival times of Guinea pigs. We hope that the new model will attract wider applications in reliability, engineering and other areas of research.
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